



Annual basal area increment and growth duration of *Pinus taeda* in response to eight years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment

DAVID J. P. MOORE^{*1}, SUSANNE AREF^{†2}, RINGO M. HO^{†3}, JEFFREY S. PIPPEN[‡], JASON HAMILTON[§] and EVAN H. DELUCIA[¶]

^{*}Program in Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA,

[†]Department of Statistics, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA, [‡]Nicholas School of the

Environment and Earth Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA, [§]Department of Biology, Ithaca College, Ithaca,

NY 14850, USA, [¶]Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Abstract

Rising CO₂ is predicted to increase forest productivity, although the duration of the response and how it might be altered by variation in rainfall, temperature and other environmental variables are not well understood. We measured the basal area of rapidly growing *Pinus taeda* trees exposed to Free-Air Carbon-dioxide Enrichment for 8 years and used these measurements to estimate monthly and annual growth. We used these measurements in a statistical model to estimate the start and end of growth in each year. Elevated CO₂ increased the basal area increment (BAI) of trees by 13–27%. In most years, exposure to elevated CO₂ increased the growth rate but not the duration of the active growth period. With the exception of 1 year following an extreme drought and a severe ice storm, BAI was positively correlated with the amount of rainfall during the active growth period. The inter-annual variation in the relative enhancement of BAI caused by elevated CO₂ was strongly related to temperature and rainfall, and was greatest in years with high vapor pressure deficit. There was no evidence of a systematic reduction in the stimulation of growth during the first 8 years of this experiment, suggesting that the hypothesized limitation of the CO₂ response caused by nitrogen availability has yet to occur.

Key words: carbon gain, climate change, dendrometer bands, forests, phenology, precipitation, stem growth, temperature

Received 8 August 2005; revised version received 14 March 2006 and accepted 23 March 2006

Introduction

Forest ecosystems exchange vast quantities of CO₂ with the atmosphere and represent a large global sink for carbon (Schlesinger, 1997). Because trees use the C₃ photosynthetic pathway, it has been hypothesized that increasing amounts of CO₂ in the atmosphere, derived primarily from human activities, will cause a substan-

tial increase in forest productivity (Strain & Bazzaz, 1983). This hypothesis can now be tested in large stature forests at realistic spatial and temporal scales using Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) technology (Hendrey & Kimball, 1994; Hendrey *et al.*, 1999). For rapidly growing pine, sweetgum, and poplar stands, exposure to CO₂ concentrations predicted for 2050 caused a median increase of 23% in net primary production (NPP; DeLucia *et al.*, 1999, 2005; Norby *et al.*, 2002; Calfapietra *et al.*, 2003; Norby *et al.*, 2005). However, these analyses are limited to 3–5 years of CO₂ exposure and the stimulation of growth may decrease with time as nitrogen and other nutrients become limiting (Reich *et al.*, 1997; Oren *et al.*, 2001; Finzi *et al.*, 2002; Luo *et al.*, 2004).

In many models of the global carbon cycle, plant growth, and productivity increase with rising levels of

Correspondence: Evan DeLucia, tel. +217 333 3261, fax +217 244 7246, e-mail: delucia@uiuc.edu

¹Present address: Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.

²Present address: Department of Statistics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA.

³Present address: Department of Psychology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 1B1.

atmospheric CO₂ (Cramer *et al.*, 2001), but the availability of mineral nutrients may limit potential growth enhancements at higher CO₂ concentrations (Luo & Reynolds, 1999; Medlyn *et al.*, 2000; Hungate *et al.*, 2003). Exposure of a *Pinus taeda* forest to elevated CO₂ for 6 years caused an increase in basal area (BA) growth and productivity (DeLucia *et al.*, 1999; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002; Schäfer *et al.*, 2003; Finzi *et al.*, 2006). However, there has been no corresponding increase in the rate of nitrogen mineralization in plots exposed to elevated CO₂ (Finzi *et al.*, 2002; Finzi *et al.*, 2006) and nitrogen has become increasingly immobilized in biomass and organic soil (Finzi *et al.*, 2006), suggesting that the growth stimulation may decline in the future. If nitrogen limitation causes the stimulation of growth by elevated CO₂ to diminish over time, projections of a sustained increase in the size of the terrestrial carbon sink will prove false (Hungate *et al.*, 2003; Lenton & Huntingford, 2003).

The capacity of forests to absorb atmospheric carbon may be altered by variation in weather, particularly temperature and precipitation. While a number of environmental factors affect tree growth, the potential effects of temperature and water availability on the response to elevated CO₂ rests on a firm mechanistic foundation. Based on the kinetics of the primary carboxylating enzyme, the stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated CO₂ should increase proportionally with rising temperature (Jordan & Ogren, 1984; Long, 1991). Also, many woody plants make more efficient use of water when grown in elevated CO₂ (Drake *et al.*, 1997; Curtis & Wang, 1998), so the relative stimulation in growth may be greater in times of low rainfall. Thus, fluctuations in temperature and rainfall from year to year could alter the effect of CO₂ on tree growth and productivity.

The objective of this study was to quantify the inter-annual variation in the effect of elevated CO₂ on growth of *P. taeda* trees and to determine whether the growth response observed previously (DeLucia *et al.*, 1999; Naidu & DeLucia, 1999; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002; Finzi *et al.*, 2006) has been sustained over 8 years. Fluctuations in the relative enhancement of tree growth are placed in the context of interannual variation in weather. A second objective was to determine if an increase in the duration of BA growth in trees exposed to elevated CO₂ could be responsible for part of the observed stimulation in NPP reported in this forest (DeLucia *et al.*, 1999; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002; Finzi *et al.*, 2006). This experiment was conducted at the FACTS-1 (Forest Atmosphere Carbon dioxide Transfer and Storage-1) research site – a long running experiment where intact forest plots are exposed to elevated CO₂ without confounding chamber effects or constraining rooting volume.

Materials and methods

Site description

The FACTS-1 research site at Duke Forest is located in the Piedmont region of North Carolina (35°58'N 79°05'W). This facility uses FACE technology to increase the CO₂ concentration in three of six experimental plots within a continuous unmanaged *P. taeda* plantation (Hendrey *et al.*, 1999). Each 30 m diameter plot consists of a circular plenum that delivers air to an array of 32 vertical pipes. The pipes extend from the forest floor to above the 18 m tall forest canopy and contain adjustable ports at 50 cm intervals that allow control of atmospheric CO₂ through the entire forest volume.

Carbon dioxide enrichment occurred 80% of the time as it was switched off when air temperature was below 5 °C or when wind speed exceeded 5 m s⁻¹. The average CO₂ concentration (24 h average) in the three fully instrumented control plots during the first 6 years of the experiment was 386 ± 21 (SD) μmol mol⁻¹ and three experimental plots were maintained at ambient plus 196 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ (582 ± 79 μmol mol⁻¹). From 2003 onwards fumigation was switched off at night leading to an average daytime CO₂ concentration of ~383 ± 26 μmol mol⁻¹ in the ambient plots while the elevated plots were maintained at plus 194 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂ (~577 ± 80 μmol mol⁻¹). Recent evidence suggests that there is no direct effect of elevated CO₂ on plant respiration (Davey *et al.*, 2004) and turning off the injection gas at night represented a considerable financial savings. *P. taeda* seedlings were planted in 1983 in 2.4 m × 2.4 m spacing. Since that time a number of hardwood species have become established in the understory (Naidu & DeLucia, 1999).

A dominance hierarchy has established among pines and individual crowns were classified as either emergent, codominant or suppressed based on their position relative to the average canopy height in each plot (Naidu *et al.*, 1998). When fumigation began in August 1996, *P. taeda* trees were ~14 m tall and comprised more than 90% of the BA of the stand. This analysis was restricted to the *P. taeda* as this species accounts for over 85% of NPP in this forest (Hamilton *et al.*, 2002), and variation in the average BAI of *P. taeda* was the main factor controlling variation in NPP ($NPP = 56.23 \times BAI + 750.08$; $R^2 = 0.79$, $P < 0.01$; Finzi *et al.*, 2006).

Tree growth

In 1996, spring-loaded stainless steel dendrometer bands for measuring circumference were fitted to the boles of trees approximately 1.4 m above ground level (Keeland & Sharitz, 1993; Naidu *et al.*, 1998; DeLucia

et al., 1999; Naidu & DeLucia, 1999). Trees were randomly selected within each of the three height classes. Circumference was measured at approximately monthly intervals on between 31 and 34 trees in each plot (105 in the ambient plots and 104 in elevated plots) representing a sample size of between 25% and 52% of the total number of pines in each plot. Tree size is expressed as BA(Naidu et al., 1998). The data set from 1996 to 2004 consisted of more than 18000 measurements of tree BA. Monthly basal area increment (*mBAI*) was normalized to a 30-day period ($BAI_{monthly} = [BA_{t1} - BA_{t0}] / [t1 - t0] \times 30$). Annual BAI was calculated as the difference between BA from the end of 1 year to the next, where BA at the end of any given year was the average of BA measured during the winter months (November through January).

Estimating the duration of the active growth period

To determine whether the CO₂ treatment and annual variation in weather affected the timing and duration of basal area growth in *P. taeda*, a statistical model was used to estimate the start, end, and duration of growth in each year. A model was necessary to estimate the day that growth was initiated or ceased as BA was measured monthly. Two independent models (Proc Nlin, SAS v. 9.0, Cary, NC, USA) for the start of growth and the end of growth, respectively, were fitted to the basal area measurements of each tree for each year of the experiment (Fig. 1).

For the period before the start of growth, BA was defined as $BA = a$; and after growth started $BA = a + b$ (days) + c (days²), where a is the initial basal area, b is the slope of the linear portion of the increase in basal

area and c is the curvature of that increase. The start day was calculated iteratively as the intersection between these two functions (Fig. 1).

The end of growth was estimated in the same way except that the end day was the intersection between the growth curve and the final basal area (Fig. 1) and curvature (c') was constrained to be $-0.5(b')/(\text{end day})$. Because the stop model estimated the end of growth asymptotically and for other considerations explained below, we defined the end of the active growth period as the day when BA was 90% of the annual maximum.

Meteorological data

To examine the potential influence of interannual variation in weather on the response of tree growth to elevated CO₂, precipitation and temperature data were compiled from measurements made at the FACTS-1 research site (from April 1997) and from the State Climate Office of North Carolina at NC State University (before April 1997, source station: Chapel Hill 2 W, NC, approximately 16 km from the field site). Other environmental variables were measured on site from April 1997 onwards. Relative humidity, soil moisture, and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) incident on the forest canopy were measured as in Schäfer et al. (2003) and data were provided by R. Oren.

We assumed that environmental conditions immediately before the onset of basal area growth may have affected growth rate through their influence on early season carbon assimilation and water status; conversely, because growth had nearly ceased for the year, we assumed that conditions immediately before its cessation would have a small effect on the annual basal area




Fig. 1 Basal area (BA) for a typical *Pinus taeda* tree from December 1997 through April 1999. BA was calculated from measurement of bole circumference. The symbols represent measured values and the lines represent functions fit to the data. Two functions were used to describe the progression of growth from winter into summer and autumn (left panel). In winter, BA (basal area) = a (constant), and during summer and autumn, $BA = a + b(\text{day}) + c(\text{day}^2)$; where b is the initial increase in tree size and c is the curvature of growth late in the season. The intersection of these two functions defined the 'start day'. The progression of growth from summer into the following winter was also described by two functions (right panel). During summer and autumn, $BA = a_1' + b'(\text{day}) + c'(\text{day}^2)$ and during the following winter, $BA = a'$. The intersection of these two functions defined the 'end day'. The 'end day' was then modified to accommodate the gradual cessation of growth late in the year (see methods).

increment. To calculate temperature and rainfall totals which would have the most influence on tree growth we defined the active period for basal area growth as 15 days before the onset of growth until the day the trees reached 90% of their annual maximum. Precipitation totals were estimated by summing daily rainfall measurements and the mean temperature was calculated for the same period. Monthly values for the Palmer Drought Severity Index for climate division NC 3102 were obtained from the National Climatic Data Center located in Asheville, NC.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses followed a randomized complete block design and were conducted with the SAS System for Windows (SAS v. 9.0). We used a repeated measures mixed model ANOVA (Proc Mixed) to estimate the fixed effects of CO₂ treatment (two levels: ambient and elevated), year (eight levels: 1997–2004) on the start, end, and duration of the active growth period. The block was considered a fixed factor while block by treatment, block by year effects were considered random and the degrees of freedom were calculated using the containment method (Littell *et al.*, 2002). The subject of the repeated measure was the individual plot and the covariance structure was modeled using a compound symmetric variance–covariance matrix. To account for variation in tree size the relationships between initial size and onset and cessation of growth were tested but were found not to contribute to the variance.

Variation in annual BAI (cm² yr⁻¹) for *P. taeda* growing under ambient and elevated CO₂ was estimated using a repeated measures mixed model ANCOVA (Proc Mixed). The year effect included each calendar year from 1997 to 2004. The covariate in the BAI model was the BAI of each tree before the commencement of fumigation in 1996. Crown class represents whether the trees were suppressed, dominant or emergent from the canopy (as defined by Naidu *et al.*, 1998), and the treatment was either elevated or ambient CO₂. The subject of the repeated measure was the individual tree and the covariance structure was modeled using a heterogeneous autoregressive variance–covariance matrix. Denominator degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward–Rogers approximation (Kenward & Rogers, 1997; Littell *et al.*, 2002). Upper level linear contrasts (based on the *t*-statistic) were used to test for the treatment effect in each year of the experiment.

mBAI (cm² m⁻¹) was analyzed by year using the same model described previously for annual BAI except that month was substituted for year as the time interval.

The relationships of annual BAI and the log ratio of the response ratio (ln [BAI_{ele}/BAI_{amb}]) to average and

cumulative temperature, rainfall, cumulative soil moisture, PAR and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) were tested using one- and two-factor regression analysis (Proc Reg). Temperature and rainfall were available for the entire 8 years of the experiment and all other environmental variables were available from 1998 onwards. Unless otherwise stated, all data are presented as least square means estimated by generalized least squares within a mixed model. Error bars are 1 SE of the difference between least squared means of the treatment and control.

Results

The median duration of BA growth during the year was 162 days starting on day 81 (March 21; Table 1), and average BA was 90% of the annual maximum by day 233 (August 22; Table 1). The start of BA growth varied among years but not between ambient and elevated CO₂ treatments (Tables 1 and 2). The start of growth each year occurred when the minimum temperature was above freezing (Fig. 2), and interannual variance in the start of growth was closely related to the date of the last frost ($P < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.61$). No relationship between other environmental variables and the timing of the end of growth was found.

Monthly BAI was not always significantly enhanced by elevated CO₂ during the growing season (Fig. 2). In some years (e.g. 1997 and 2003) the stimulation was most pronounced early in the growing season, while in 2002 the effect of elevated CO₂ was greatest later in the season (Fig. 2); the growth enhancement in the remain-

Table 1 The start, end and length of the active growth period for *Pinus taeda* growing under ambient and elevated CO₂ modeled from the change in basal area over time (Fig. 1)

Year	Start of growth (day of year)		End of growth (day of year)		Period of active growth (days)	
	Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated	Ambient	Elevated
1997	82 (3)	80 (3)	252 (9)	253 (9)	173 (10)	173 (10)
1998	74 (4)	74 (4)	239 (9)	235 (9)	164 (10)	160 (10)
1999	81 (4)	80 (4)	245 (9)	255 (9)	163 (10)	175 (10)
2000	69 (3)	69 (3)	230 (9)	231 (9)	162 (10)	163 (10)
2001	99 (3)	94 (3)	204 (9)	214 (9)	107 (10)	122 (10)
2002	97 (4)	93 (4)	276 (9)	272 (9)	164 (12)	171 (12)
2003	90 (4)	91 (4)	199 (9)	199 (9)	108 (11)	108 (11)
2004	89 (4)	91 (4)	214 (9)	214 (9)	126 (11)	127 (11)

Least square means (± 1 SE) are reported and treatment differences were estimated using linear contrast statements within a mixed model ANOVA; there were no significant differences in any year (Table 2).

Table 2 Factors contributing to variation in the start of growth, the end of growth, and the length of the active growth period for *Pinus taeda* growing under ambient and elevated CO₂ estimated by a mixed model ANOVA

Source	Start of growth			End of growth			Season length		
	df	F value	P value	df	F value	P value	df	F value	P value
Block	2 ₂	0.92	0.5205	2 ₂	2.09	0.324	2 ₂	0.31	0.7638
Year	7 ₂₈	33.4	<.0001	7 ₂₈	32.56	<.0001	7 ₂₈	30.35	<0.0001
Treatment	1 ₂	0.19	0.7083	1 ₂	0.49	0.5551	1 ₂	0.65	0.5034
Year × treatment	7 ₂₈	0.42	0.8826	7 ₂₈	0.5	0.8264	7 ₂₈	0.51	0.8223

The year effect included each calendar year from 1997 to 2004. The treatment was either elevated or ambient CO₂. The denominator degrees of freedom are shown as a subscript beside the numerator degrees of freedom (df), F-statistic and probability (P) values are shown for each factor in the model.




Fig. 2 Mean monthly basal area increment (cm month⁻¹), mean daily temperature (grey line; °C) and daily rainfall (black bars; mm) for each year of the experiment. Basal area increment of *Pinus taeda* exposed to ambient CO₂ is represented by 'o' and elevated CO₂ is shown by '•'. Symbols for monthly BAI represent least square means from three independent replicate forest plots. Treatment differences at P<0.1 and P<0.05, indicated by '*' and '**', respectively, were estimated using upper level linear contrast statements within a repeated measures mixed model ANOVA conducted within each year 1997 to 2004.

ing years was more evenly distributed throughout the growing season.

Annual BAI varied among years and was on average higher in trees exposed to elevated CO₂ (Table 1; Fig. 3a). There was a significant stimulation of annual BAI in each year of the experiment that varied between 13.2%

and 27.4% (Fig. 3). Although a significant crown class by treatment interaction could not be resolved (Table 3), it appeared that the relative stimulation was greater for emergent (19%) and dominant trees (24.5%) than for the subcanopy individuals (11.4%; Fig. 4), suggesting that the smaller suppressed trees may not have been able to




Fig. 3 The basal area increment (BAI) for *Pinus taeda* trees exposed to ambient CO_2 (○) and elevated CO_2 (●) (a) and the percentage stimulation in BAI caused by elevated CO_2 (b) and the variation in total rainfall (open bars; mm) and mean temperature (black line; $^{\circ}\text{C}$) during the active growth period (c). Symbols in panel a are least square means from three independent replicate forest plots. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error of the difference between LS means. Treatment differences at $P < 0.1$ and $P < 0.05$, indicated by '*' and '**', respectively, were estimated using upper level linear contrast statements within a repeated measures mixed model ANOVA (Table 1).

take full advantage of the extra CO_2 provided by the treatment. Disproportionate damage to dominant and emergent trees in the ambient plots caused by the ice storm in 2002 (McCarthy *et al.*, in press) contributed to the significant three-way interaction between the CO_2 treatment, crown class, and year (Table 3).

Temperature, rainfall, incident irradiance and soil moisture during the period of active growth varied from year to year (data not shown). Growth was positively correlated with seasonal ($R^2 = 0.46$, $P < 0.05$) but not annual rainfall. With the exception of 2002 when low water availability limited growth during the summer months (Fig. 2), the average BAI of trees in both treatments was positively correlated with the length of the active growing period ($R^2 = 0.56$, $P < 0.05$), cumula-

Table 3 Factors contributing to variation in annual basal area increment (BAI; $\text{cm}^2 \text{yr}^{-1}$) for *P. taeda* growing under ambient and elevated CO_2 estimated by a mixed model ANCOVA

Source	BAI		
	df	F value	P value
Block	2 _{3.8}	1.16	0.3966
Year	7 ₃₆	2.14	0.0638
Covariate \times year	8 ₅₅₂	164.16	<0.0001
Treatment	1 _{2.3}	18.48	0.0353
Year \times treatment	7 ₁₈	1.29	0.3099
Crown class	2 _{20.1}	0.53	0.5983
Crown class \times year	14 _{35.4}	0.53	0.8844
Crown class \times treatment	2 _{11.4}	1.15	0.3503
Year \times crown class \times treatment	14 ₈₂₂	1.80	0.0351

The year effect included each calendar year from 1997 to 2004. The covariate in the BAI model was the pretreatment basal area increment of each tree. Crown class represents whether the trees were suppressed, dominant or emergent from the canopy, and the treatment was either elevated or ambient CO_2 . The numerator and denominator degrees of freedom (df), *F*-statistic and probability (*P*) value are shown for each factor in the model. Denominator degrees of freedom are shown as a subscript to the numerator denominator degrees of freedom and were calculated using the Kenward–Rogers approximation.

tive photosynthetic active radiation ($R^2 = 0.52$, $P < 0.05$), and cumulative temperature ($R^2 = 0.55$, $P < 0.05$), and less strongly with soil moisture ($R^2 = 0.35$, $P < 0.05$). However, many of these environmental variables were correlated with one another. The relative stimulation of BAI by elevated CO_2 from 1997 to 2004 was related to temperature and rainfall during the active growth period ($\ln[\text{BAI}_{\text{ele}}/\text{BAI}_{\text{amb}}] = 0.03564 \times [\text{mean temperature}] + 0.00018 \times [\text{rainfall}] - 0.64$; $R^2 = 0.64$, $P = 0.07$). When the 2003 was omitted, because it followed an extreme drought and a severe ice storm, the combination of temperature and rainfall accounted for 93% of the variation in the relative stimulation ($\ln[\text{BAI}_{\text{ele}}/\text{BAI}_{\text{amb}}] = 0.0513 \times [\text{mean temperature}] + 0.00018 \times [\text{rainfall}] - 0.96$; $R^2 = 0.93$, $P < 0.01$). Similarly, the relative growth enhancement was related to VPD integrated during the active growth period ($\ln[\text{BAI}_{\text{ele}}/\text{BAI}_{\text{amb}}] = 0.0013 \times [\text{cumulative VPD}] + 0.0279$; $R^2 = 0.87$, $P < 0.01$, 2003 omitted). For 2003, the stimulation predicted by either of these simple regression models was substantially lower than that observed.

Discussion

Exposure to elevated CO_2 caused a sustained increase in BAI over the first 8 years of the experiment, but the




Fig. 4 The relative stimulation of basal area increment in *Pinus taeda* trees with suppressed, dominant, or emergent crowns caused by exposure to elevated CO₂ for 8 years. The mean response of all trees is shown by the dashed line. Individual crowns were classified as either emergent, co-dominant or suppressed based on their position relative to the average canopy height in each plot (Naidu *et al.*, 1998). Each bar represents the mean effect comparing trees in each crown class from three ambient and three elevated CO₂ forest plots. Treatment differences at $P < 0.1$ and $P < 0.05$, indicated by '*' and '**', respectively, were estimated using upper level linear contrast statements within a repeated measures mixed model ANOVA.

relative stimulation was modulated by year-to-year variation in weather and in the timing of growth. The effect of elevated CO₂ on BA growth rate was most apparent for dominant and emergent trees and least for suppressed trees. There was no evidence of a decline in the relative enhancement of tree growth by elevated CO₂ as might be expected if soil nutrients were becoming progressively more limiting, although the average enhancement (18.7%) was lower than previously reported for this *P. taeda* forest (24–26%; DeLucia *et al.*, 1999; Naidu & DeLucia, 1999; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002). This lower estimate of the percentage stimulation in basal area growth caused by CO₂ in part stems from using pretreatment tree growth rate as a covariate in the statistical analysis. Small differences in pretreatment growth rates contributed to a bias in earlier estimates of the percentage stimulation. There was no detectable effect of elevated CO₂ on either the onset or cessation of diameter growth in *P. taeda*. The growth rate of trees in each year of the experiment was stimulated by exposure to high CO₂, and this stimulation in growth rate, rather than differences in the duration of growth, contributed to the greater productivity in this forest under elevated CO₂ (Finzi *et al.*, 2006).

BA growth started after temperatures consistently were above freezing (Fig. 2) and the timing of growth was not affected by the CO₂ treatment (Table 2). While basal area growth ceased in the winter (Figs. 1 and 2),

P. taeda needles are physiologically active throughout the year (Hymus *et al.*, 1999). We might expect photosynthetic enhancements during the winter to provide more carbohydrate to pines in elevated CO₂ and perhaps allow them to commence growth earlier, during slightly colder conditions. Although photosynthesis is much lower in the winter months (Hymus *et al.*, 1999), carbon gain in loblolly pine is sufficient to offset autotrophic respiration and maintain a positive or near zero carbon balance (Baldocchi *et al.*, 2001).

The absence of an effect of elevated CO₂ on the onset of growth (Table 1, Fig. 2) can probably be explained by two main reasons. First, the photosynthetic enhancement by elevated CO₂ increases strongly with temperature (Long, 1991; Teskey, 1995; Lewis *et al.*, 1996; Myers *et al.*, 1999; Sigurdsson *et al.*, 2002) and its effect on carbon gain is small during the cold winter months. Second, the rate of electron transport is inhibited by elevated CO₂ during the colder winter months, probably because of reduced translocation of assimilates (Hymus *et al.*, 1999). Thus, elevated CO₂ induces photo-inhibition in pine needles during winter and may lead to greater frost damage (Hymus *et al.*, 1999), as seen in other evergreen species (Lutze *et al.*, 1998). Given this potentially damaging effect of elevated CO₂, it is perhaps surprising that trees do not commence growth slightly later than their ambient grown counterparts.

Although leaf area duration in crops and other tree species may be extended (Li *et al.*, 2000; Tricker *et al.*, 2005; Dermody *et al.*, 2006), exposure to elevated CO₂ did not cause a shift in the timing of either peak leaf area index or peak litterfall in this forest (H. R. McCarthy, personal communication), and we found no detectable effect of the treatment on when basal area growth ceased (Fig. 2, Table 2). The cessation of stem growth in trees is not coincident with canopy senescence; diameter growth in deciduous trees often stops in midsummer, well before leaf drop (Hanson & Weltzin, 2000; Hanson *et al.*, 2001), and in evergreen species diameter growth and needle fall are decoupled entirely. While stem growth starts in the spring and stops in late summer (Fig. 2, Table 2) the typical lifespan of a *P. taeda* needle in the Piedmont region of North Carolina is 19 months (Finzi *et al.*, 2001) and needles remain physiologically active through the winter (Hymus *et al.*, 1999; Baldocchi *et al.*, 2001). Because species like *P. taeda* retain their needles over the winter and drop them only after a new cohort of needles is ready to sustain carbon uptake, the end of the active growth period in evergreen plants is unlikely to be altered by elevated CO₂.

The availability of water is an important determinant of plant growth and productivity (Kozlowski *et al.*, 1991; Arneeth *et al.*, 1998; Eamus, 2003). However, at a given location interannual variation in NPP seldom is

strongly correlated with annual rainfall (Knapp & Smith, 2001; Eamus, 2003; Weltzin *et al.*, 2003), instead the timing of rainfall events is more important (Hanson & Weltzin, 2000). There was no correlation between annual precipitation or soil moisture and *BAI* in this study. During winter dormancy growth is uncoupled from rainfall as transpiration is low and more water is lost by evaporation and run-off than used for growth. Even by limiting the analysis to the period of active diameter growth, no clear correlation between *BAI* and rainfall or soil moisture was observed in ambient and elevated plots. However, if data for 2003 were omitted, *BAI* was positively correlated with rainfall ($R^2 = 0.46$, $P < 0.01$). There was a severe drought in 2002 (the Palmer Drought Severity Index during the growing season was -3.7) that caused unusually high litterfall (Finzi *et al.*, 2006) and may have increased the mortality of fine roots. In addition, an ice storm in the winter of 2002 caused widespread needle loss and damage to leaders and branches and this was more severe in ambient plots (McCarthy *et al.*, in press). These two severe disturbances may have suppressed growth rates in 2003 even though rainfall was abundant.

In many species a reduction in stomatal conductance and lower rates of transpiration contribute to a greater relative stimulation of growth by elevated CO_2 under dry conditions (Drake *et al.*, 1997; Curtis & Wang, 1998). In this study, elevated CO_2 had no effect on the relationship between tree growth and rainfall or soil moisture. Stomatal conductance and transpiration in *P. taeda* are unresponsive to elevated CO_2 (Teskey, 1995; Pataki *et al.*, 1998; Ellsworth, 1999; Schäfer *et al.*, 2002) so for this species it is not likely to have a disproportionate effect on growth during times of low rainfall.

Enhanced photosynthesis is the primary mechanism leading to increased growth rates for *P. taeda* exposed to elevated CO_2 . Photosynthesis in *P. taeda* was enhanced by an average of 60% from 1998 to 2002 (Crous & Ellsworth, 2004). Physiological theory (Long, 1991) and empirical observations (Teskey, 1995; Lewis *et al.*, 1996; Myers *et al.*, 1999; Sigurdsson *et al.*, 2002) indicate that exposure to elevated CO_2 disproportionately stimulates carbon gain at high temperatures. Increased temperature reduces the solubility of CO_2 in water and also reduces the specificity of the primary carboxylating enzyme (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase) for CO_2 (Jordan & Ogren, 1984) leading to increased photorespiration and less carbon gain. Photorespiration increases more rapidly than photosynthesis in *P. taeda* from 25 to 35 °C (Samuelson & Teskey, 1991), a similar range of temperatures experienced during the middle of the growing season throughout this experiment (the average July temperature was 24.5 °C and the average maximum July temperature was 30.3 °C). As

such the suppression of photorespiration by elevated CO_2 becomes more important as temperature increases.

The growth stimulation by elevated CO_2 appeared to track mean temperature during the active growth period for the first 6 years of the experiment (Fig. 3). However, the variation in temperature (± 4 °C) was insufficient to explain such large differences in the stimulation of growth ($\pm 14\%$). Typically, percent increases in growth caused by elevated CO_2 are less than the observed increase in photosynthesis (Ainsworth & Long, 2005). Based on Long (1991) an increase in mean temperature from 19.5 to 22.5 °C should increase the stimulation of net photosynthesis by approximately 8%. A multiple linear regression including temperature and rainfall during the active growth period explained most of the variation in the stimulation of growth from 1997 to 2004 ($R^2 = 0.64$, $P = 0.07$). If the data for 2003 were omitted because this year followed an extreme drought and an ice storm the regression explained considerably more of the variation in growth rate ($R^2 = 0.93$, $P < 0.01$).

Because stomatal conductance does not respond to elevated CO_2 (Ellsworth, 1999) the ratio of intracellular leaf [CO_2] to that in ambient air should decline with increasing VPD in trees regardless of the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere. In addition, only slight differences in the average maximum rate of carboxylation are caused by the treatment (Crous & Ellsworth, 2004). Consequently, when VPD is high and stomatal conductance is low, a greater relative stimulation of net photosynthesis is observed compared with moist cool conditions when conductance is high (Ellsworth, 1999). We found that with the exception of 2003 the relative stimulation of growth was positively related to VPD integrated across the period of active growth in each year ($R^2 = 0.87$, $P < 0.05$), which is consistent with the expected stimulation in photosynthesis.

The relative stimulation of growth in 2003 was higher than we would predict from physiological theory driven by any combination of environmental variables. This may be because canopy removal by the ice storm in the winter of 2002 was less severe in the elevated plots than in the ambient plots. It is important to note that several of the environmental variables were correlated and as such we cannot conclude that any one factor accounted for the interannual variation in the CO_2 effect. We have highlighted those variables that have a well-established physiological effect on photosynthesis and so are more likely to alter the treatment effect from year to year. It appears that the interannual variation in relative growth enhancement caused by elevated CO_2 can be explained from physiological principles.

Elevated CO_2 caused a significant enhancement of *BAI* in each year (Fig. 3a) but dominant and emergent trees responded more strongly than suppressed trees

(Fig. 4). Competitively suppressed trees had limited access to light and nutrients because of their small size and subordinate canopy position. McDonald *et al.*, (2002) also found that competitively suppressed *Populus tremuloides* Michx. trees responded less to elevated CO₂ than competitively advantaged trees. Because *P. taeda* trees grew more in elevated CO₂ and consequently used more nutrients (Finzi *et al.*, 2002) it is possible that the suppressed individuals would be more competitively disadvantaged in elevated CO₂ than in ambient CO₂.

The sustained enhancement of basal area growth seen in this forest is not common to all multiyear elevated CO₂ experiments. The initial stimulation in above ground stem growth diminished substantially in a *Liquidambar styraciflua* forest after 3 years of CO₂ exposure, as trees allocated new carbon to the production of fine roots (Norby *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, only a transient increase in stem growth was observed in 10 trees exposed to elevated CO₂ in an old growth European forest, despite significant enhancements in photosynthetic rate (Körner *et al.*, 2005). While the increase in *NPP* caused by elevated CO₂ in this *P. taeda* forest was driven by a combination of increased wood increment and aboveground litterfall (Hamilton *et al.*, 2002), increased productivity in the *L. styraciflua* forest was driven by greater allocation to fine roots in elevated CO₂ (Norby *et al.*, 2004; DeLucia *et al.*, 2005). Because of this difference in allocation the fate of additional carbon fixed by these two contrasting forest types will likely be very different. Although it is now clear that *NPP* of young actively growing forests is stimulated by elevated CO₂ (Norby *et al.*, 2005), questions still remain as to the long-term fate of extra carbon fixed annually, especially in complex forest ecosystems (Körner *et al.*, 2005).

Enhanced *NPP* reported previously for this *P. taeda* forest (DeLucia *et al.*, 1999, 2005; Hamilton *et al.*, 2002; Finzi *et al.*, 2006) was caused by an increase in growth rate rather than an increase in the duration of growth. Based on analyses up to 2002, trees grown in elevated CO₂ accumulate nitrogen in biomass at a faster rate than their ambient grown counterparts, and depending on future changes in ecosystem C:N ratio and net nitrogen mineralization rates, the CO₂ effect on growth and productivity may diminish in coming years (Finzi *et al.*, 2006). However, for the first 8 years of this experiment there has been a consistently positive effect of CO₂ on *P. taeda* growth. Much of the variation in the growth enhancement was explained by rainfall, temperature, and VPD during the active growth period. As there was no evidence that the growth stimulation abated with time, the putative N limitations to growth have not yet engaged.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Office of Science BER Program, US Department of Energy with additional support by grants from TECO-DOE (DE-FG05-95ER62083) and NASA (TE/97-20024). We gratefully acknowledge G. R. Hendrey, K. F. Lewin, J. Nagy, G. Hon, R. LaMorte, A. Mace, and R. Nettles (Brookhaven National Laboratory) for logistical support, and we thank J. Edeburn and the staff of the Duke Forest for operational support at the field site. Ram Oren, Hyun-Seok Kim and A. Chris Oishi (Duke University) generously provided some of the micro-meteorological data; these data were collected under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with Brookhaven National Laboratory. We also thank the State Climate Office of North Carolina at NC State University for providing ancillary rainfall and temperature data. Comments on earlier drafts of this manuscript by F. Miguez, A. Leakey, R. Gallery, R. Knepp, M. Prater, O. Dermody, E. Ainsworth, J. Tang, and M. Aldea were greatly appreciated.

References

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytologist*, **165**, 351–371.
- Arneeth A, Kelliher FM, McSeveny TM *et al.* (1998) Net ecosystem productivity, net primary productivity and ecosystem carbon sequestration in a *Pinus radiata* plantation subject to soil water deficit. *Tree Physiology*, **18**, 785–793.
- Baldocchi DD, Falge E, Gu L *et al.* (2001) FLUXNET: a new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem-scale carbon dioxide, water vapor and energy flux densities. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **82**, 2415–2435.
- Calfapietra C, Gielen B, Galema ANJ *et al.* (2003) Free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) enhances biomass production in a short-rotation poplar plantation. *Tree Physiology*, **23**, 805–814.
- Cramer W, Bondeau A, Woodward FI *et al.* (2001) Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to CO₂ and climate change: results from six dynamic global vegetation models. *Global Change Biology*, **7**, 357–373.
- Crous KY, Ellsworth DS (2004) Canopy position affects photosynthetic adjustments to long-term elevated CO₂ concentration (FACE) in a mature *Pinus taeda* L. forest. *Tree Physiology*, **24**, 961–970.
- Curtis PS, Wang XZ (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. *Oecologia*, **113**, 299–313.
- Davey PA, Hunt S, Hymus GJ *et al.* (2004) Respiratory oxygen uptake is not decreased by an instantaneous elevation of [CO₂], but is increased by long-term growth in the field at elevated [CO₂]. *Plant Physiology*, **134**, 520–527.
- DeLucia EH, Hamilton JG, Naidu SL *et al.* (1999) Net primary production of a forest ecosystem with experimental CO₂ enrichment. *Science*, **284**, 1177–1179.
- DeLucia EH, Moore DJ, Norby RJ (2005) Contrasting responses of forest ecosystems to rising atmospheric CO₂: implications for the global C cycle. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **19**, GB3006, doi:10.1029/2004GB002346.

- 1 Dermody O, Long SP, DeLucia EH (2006) How does elevated
CO₂ or ozone affect LAI of soybean when applied independ-
ently? *New Phytologist*, **169**, 145–155.
- 5 Drake BG, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Long SP (1997) More efficient
plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annual
Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology*, **48**,
609–639.
- Eamus D (2003) How does ecosystem water balance affect net
primary productivity of woody ecosystems? *Functional Plant
Biology*, **30**, 187–205.
- 10 Ellsworth DS (1999) CO₂ enrichment in a maturing pine forest:
are CO₂ exchange and water status in the canopy affected?
Plant Cell and Environment, **22**, 461–472.
- Finzi AC, DeLucia EH, Hamilton JG *et al.* (2002) The nitrogen
budget of a pine forest under free air CO₂ enrichment.
15 *Oecologia*, **132**, 567–578.
- Finzi AC, Moore DJP, DeLucia EH *et al.* (2006) Progressive N
Limitation in the Duke Forest FACE Experiment: a six year
synthesis. *Ecology*, **87**, 15–25.
- Hamilton JG, DeLucia EH, George K *et al.* (2002) Forest
carbon balance under elevated CO₂. *Oecologia*, **131**, 250–260.
- 20 Hanson PJ, Todd DE, Amthor JS (2001) A six-year study of
sapling and large-tree growth and mortality responses to
natural and induced variability in precipitation and through-
fall. *Tree Physiology*, **21**, 345–358.
- Hanson PJ, Weltzin JF (2000) Drought disturbance from climate
change: response of United States forests. *Science of the Total
Environment*, **262**, 205–220.
- 25 Hendrey GR, Kimball BA (1994) The Face Program. *Agricultural
and Forest Meteorology*, **70**, 3–14.
- Hendrey GR, Ellsworth DS, Lewin KF *et al.* (1999) A free-air
enrichment system for exposing tall forest vegetation to
elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Global Change Biology*, **5**,
293–309.
- 30 Hungate BA, Dukes JS, Shaw MR *et al.* (2003) Nitrogen and
climate change. *Science*, **302**, 1512–1513.
- Hymus GJ, Ellsworth DS, Baker NR *et al.* (1999) Does free-air
carbon dioxide enrichment affect photochemical energy use by
evergreen trees in different seasons? A chlorophyll fluores-
cence study of mature Loblolly Pine. *Plant Physiology*, **120**,
1183–1191.
- 35 Jordan DB, Ogren WL (1984) The CO₂/O₂ specificity of ribulose
1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase – dependence on
ribulosebisphosphate concentration, pH and temperature.
Planta, **161**, 308–313.
- Keeland BD, Sharitz RR (1993) Accuracy of tree growth measure-
ments using dendrometer bands. *Canadian Journal of Forest
Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, **23**, 2454–
2457.
- 45 Kenward MG, Roger JH (1997) Small sample inference for fixed
effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Biometrics*, **53**,
983–997.
- Knapp AK, Smith MD (2001) Variation among biomes in tempo-
ral dynamics of aboveground primary production. *Science*,
50 **291**, 481–484.
- Körner C, Asshoff R, Bignucolo O *et al.* (2005) Carbon flux and
growth in mature deciduous forest trees exposed to elevated
CO₂. *Science*, **309**, 1360–1362.
- Kozłowski TT, Kramer PJ, Pallary SG (1991) *The Physiological
Ecology of Woody Plants*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA,
USA.
- Lenton TM, Huntingford C (2003) Global terrestrial carbon
storage and uncertainties in its temperature sensitivity
59 examined with a simple model. *Global Change Biology*, **9**,
1333–1352.
- Lewis JD, Tissue DT, Strain BR (1996) Seasonal response of
photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*
L.) over two growing seasons. *Global Change Biology*, **2**,
103–114.
- 64 Littell RC, Stroup WW, Freund RJ (2002) *SAS for Linear Models*,
4th Edn. SAS Publishing, Wiley-Interscience.
- Li JH, Dijkstra P, Hymus GJ *et al.* (2000) Leaf senescence of
Quercus myrtifolia as affected by long-term CO₂ enrichment in
its native environment. *Global Change Biology*, **6**, 727–734.
- 69 Long SP (1991) Modification of the response of photosynthetic
productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO₂ con-
centrations – has its importance been underestimated? *Plant
Cell and Environment*, **14**, 729–739.
- Luo YQ, Reynolds JF (1999) Validity of extrapolating field CO₂
experiments to predict carbon sequestration in natural ecosys-
tems. *Ecology*, **80**, 1568–1583.
- 74 Luo YQ, Su B, Currie WS *et al.* (2004) Progressive nitrogen
limitation of ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric carbon
dioxide. *BioScience*, **54**, 731–739.
- 79 Lutze JL, Roden JS, Holly CJ *et al.* (1998) Elevated atmospheric
[CO₂] promotes frost damage in evergreen tree seedlings. *Plant
Cell and Environment*, **21**, 631–635.
- McCarthy H, Oren R, Johnsen KH *et al.* (in press) Ice storm
impacts on carbon sequestration under current and future CO₂
atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research-atmospheres*.
- 84 McDonald EP, Kruger EL, Riemenschneider DE *et al.* (2002)
Competitive status influences tree-growth responses to ele-
vated CO₂ and O-3 in aggrading aspen stands. *Functional
Ecology*, **16**, 792–801.
- 89 Medlyn BE, McMurtrie RE, Dewar RC *et al.* (2000) Soil processes
dominate the long-term response of forest net primary pro-
ductivity to increased temperature and atmospheric CO₂ con-
centration. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne
De Recherche Forestiere*, **30**, 873–888.
- 94 Myers DA, Thomas RB, DeLucia EH (1999) Photosynthetic
capacity of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) trees during the first
year of carbon dioxide enrichment in a forest ecosystem. *Plant
Cell and Environment*, **22**, 473–481.
- 99 Naidu SL, DeLucia EH, Thomas RB (1998) Contrasting patterns
of biomass allocation in dominant and suppressed loblolly
pine. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De
Recherche Forestiere*, **28**, 1116–1124.
- Naidu SL, DeLucia EH (1999) First-year growth response of trees
in an intact forest exposed to elevated C₂. *Global Change
Biology*, **5**, 609–613.
- 104 Norby RJ, DeLucia, Gielen B *et al.* (2005) Forest response
to elevated CO₂ is conserved across a broad range of pro-
ductivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, **102**,
18052–18056.
- Norby RJ, Hanson PJ, O'Neill EG *et al.* (2002) Net primary
productivity of a CO₂-enriched deciduous forest and the

1 implications for carbon storage. *Ecological Applications*, **12**, 1261–1266.

2 Norby RJ, Ledford J, Reilly CD *et al.* (2004) Fine-root production
 3 dominates response of a deciduous forest to atmospheric CO₂
 4 enrichment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **101**,
 5 9689–969.

6 Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnsen KH *et al.* (2001) Soil fertility limits
 7 carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched
 8 atmosphere. *Nature*, **411**, 469–472.

9 Pataki DE, Oren R, Tissue DT (1998) Elevated carbon dioxide
 10 does not affect average canopy stomatal conductance of *Pinus*
 11 *taeda* L. *Oecologia*, **117**, 47–52.

12 Reich PB, Grigal DF, Aber JD *et al.* (1997) Nitrogen mineraliza-
 13 tion and productivity in 50 hardwood and conifer stands
 14 on diverse soils. *Ecology*, **78**, 335–347.

15 Samuelson LJ, Teskey RO (1991) Net photosynthesis and leaf
 16 conductance of loblolly-pine seedlings in 2-percent and
 17 21-percent oxygen as influenced by irradiance, temperature
 18 and provenance. *Tree Physiology*, **8**, 205–211.

19 Schäfer KVR, Oren R, Ellsworth DS *et al.* (2003) Exposure to
 20 an enriched CO₂ atmosphere alters carbon assimilation and
 21 allocation in a pine forest ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*,
 22 **9**, 1378–1400.

23 Schäfer KVR, Oren R, Lai CT *et al.* (2002) Hydrologic balance
 24 in an intact temperate forest ecosystem under ambient and

25 elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Global Change*
 26 *Biology*, **8**, 895–911.

27 Schlesinger WH (1997) *Biogeochemistry: An Analysis of Global*
 28 *Change*, 2nd Edn. Academic Press, NY, USA.

29 Sigurdsson BD, Roberntz P, Freeman M *et al.* (2002) Impact
 30 studies on Nordic forests: effects of elevated CO₂ and fertiliza-
 31 tion on gas exchange. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue*
 32 *Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, **32**, 779–788.

33 Strain BR, Bazzaz FA (1983) Terrestrial plant communities. In:
 34 CO₂ and Plants: The Response of Plants to Rising Levels of Atmo-
 35 spheric Carbon Dioxide. American Association for the Advancement
 36 of Science Select Symposium 8, Boulder, CO, USA (ed. Lemon
 37 ER), pp. 177–222.

38 Teskey RO (1995) A field study of the effects of elevated CO₂ on
 39 carbon assimilation, stomatal conductance and leaf and
 40 branch growth of *Pinus taeda* trees. *Plant Cell and Environment*,
 41 **18**, 565–573.

42 Tricker PJ, Calfapietra C, Kuzminsky E *et al.* (2005) Long-term
 43 acclimation of leaf production, development, longevity and
 44 quality following 3yr exposure to free-air CO₂ enrichment
 45 during canopy closure in *Populus*. *New Phytologist*, **162**,
 46 413–426.

47 Weltzin JF, Loik ME, Schwinning S *et al.* (2003) Assessing the
 48 response of terrestrial ecosystems to potential changes in
 49 precipitation. *Bioscience*, **53**, 941–952.

MARKED PROOF

Please correct and return this set

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly in dark ink and are made well within the page margins.

<i>Instruction to printer</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	Stet
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	⤴	New matter followed by ⤴
Delete	⤵ through matter to be deleted	⤵
Delete and close up	⤵ through matter to be deleted	⤵
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	/ through letter or ⤵ through word	New letter or new word
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	≡
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to small capitals	= under matter to be changed	≡
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	~
Change to bold italic	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to lower case	Encircle matter to be changed	⊖
Change italic to upright type	(As above)	⤴
Insert 'superior' character	/ through character or ⤴ where required	⤴ under character e.g. ⤴
Insert 'inferior' character	(As above)	⤵ over character e.g. ⤵
Insert full stop	(As above)	⦿
Insert comma	(As above)	,
Insert single quotation marks	(As above)	⤴ and/or ⤵
Insert double quotation marks	(As above)	⤴ and/or ⤵
Insert hyphen	(As above)	⊖
Start new paragraph	⤴	⤴
No new paragraph	~	~
Transpose	⤴	⤴
Close up	linking ⦿ letters	⦿
Insert space between letters	⤴ between letters affected	#
Insert space between words	⤴ between words affected	#
Reduce space between letters	⤴ between letters affected	⤴
Reduce space between words	⤴ between words affected	⤴