Ithaca College (AMS) » School of Health Sciences and Human Performance » Recreation and Leisure Studies Therapeutic Recreation BS

2017-2018 Assessment Cycle **Results**

Result per Assessment Method

Therapeutic Recreation BS Outcome Set

Outcome

Outcome: SLO 1: Students will demonstrate entry-level professional knowledge and skills

SLO 1.1 Students will demonstrate the ability to develop a personal philosophy of leisure reflecting on individual values and beliefs.

 Assessment Method: Scope of Practice and Personal Philosophy Direct - Exam

| Details/Description: | Given written assessment questions focusing on |
|-----------------------|--|
| | scope, practices, and personal philosophy |
| Performance Criteria: | 80% will receive a grade of 80% or better |
| Assessed During this | YES |
| Period (Y/N): | |
| Program Assessment | Janice Elich Monroe |
| Contact Person: | |

Supporting Attachments:

Final essay questions F14 History and Philosophy.docx (Word Document (Open XML))

Results for Scope of Practice and Personal Philosophy

Summary of Results: This SLO was addressed in the Time Diary assignment.

Actions to be taken:

Reflections/Notes:

Printed on: 2/21/2019 1:28:08 PM

Assessment Method: Time Diary Direct - Student Artifact

| Details/Description: | For one week, students complete a Time Diary. The purpose of this assignment is to assist students in identifying their own leisure lifestyle, and determining what impact, if any, recreation, leisure and play have on their quality of life. Students utilize a journal and time log to record time spent in various leisure-based activities. In a written analysis students " should reflect on the meaning of your leisure activities." |
|--|---|
| Performance Criteria: | 8 % of the students will achieve a grade of 80% or better on this assignment. |
| Assessed During this Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person: | YES Janice Elich Monroe |
| Results for Time Diary | |
| Summary of Results: | Summary of Results: Combined Scores for Fall 17 and Spring 18 = Total 46 95% or better 17 90% or better 12 85% or better 3 80% or better 7 70% or better 7 70% or better 4 69% =1 50% = 1 85% of the students had a grade of 80% or higher on the time diary. See attached rubric |

 Results :
 Performance Result: Exceeded

 Actions to be taken: For future assessment it may be wise to review the attached rubric and identify specific behaviors that are most important to professional development and assess only those criteria. For example, personal philosophy. The impacts of Recreation/Leisure and Play could be used as a secondary assessment

 Reflections/Notes:
 Reflections/Notes:

Substantiating Evidence:

Time Diary Assessment S18.eml (File)

Outcome: SLO 2: Program planning and implementation Demonstrate the ability to design, implement and evaluate a program reflecting the application of knowledge of program planning principles and process.

Assessment Method: Programming Project
 Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description:

PROGRAMMING PROJECT RLS 232: PROGRAM PLANNING ON CAMPUS ACTIVITY

For this project, you are the program supervisor/planner for an approved setting and program. It is your responsibility to plan, design and run all aspects of this program from start to finish in the time frame of this semester. At the beginning of this semester, you will select and be assigned a recreation program and a program supervisor who will guide you through the details of this project. Details about what programs will be selected will be discussed in class.

Performance Criteria:

80% of the students will receive a grade of 70% or better.

Assessed During this Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person:

Janice Elich Monroe

Results for Programming Project

Summary of Results: This SLO was not evaluated in this academic year.

Ν

Actions to be taken: A new rubric will be developed to assess the students ability to plan implement and evaluate a program.

Reflections/Notes:

 Assessment Method: Service Learning I: Programming Agency Supervisor Evaluations
 Direct - Other

Details/Description:Students are required to do a 120 hour service
learning experience at a local agency focusing on
the application of programming skills. Agency
supervisors evaluate the students on eight criteria
listed in the attached rubricPerformance Criteria:80% of the student will receive a rating of
apprentice (3) or better on all eight criteriaAssessed During this
Period (Y/N):
Program Assessment
Contact Person:YES

Supporting Attachments:

③Service Learning I Rubric.pdf (Adobe Acrobat Document)

| Results for Service Learning I: Programming Agency Supervisor | |
|---|--|
| Evaluations | |

| Summary of Results: | Fall 2017 Scores ranged from 2.75 to 4.25 on a five point scale at midterm and from 2.75 to 4.75 on the final evaluation. Area that was rated below 3 was legal concepts and risk management. |
|----------------------|--|
| | Spring 2018 Scores ranged from 3.8 to 5 on a five point scale at midterm and from 3.5 to 5 on the final evaluation. Legal issues and risk management was rated 3.67 during this semester. |
| Results : | Performance Result: Met |
| Actions to be taken: | Be sure that legal concepts and risk management are covered in depth in Administration of TR. Also cross check this with Internship evaluations to determine if there is consistency in this response or if it was an agency supervisor perspective. 1. Revisit the structure of the evaluation form and the criteria that are being measured. |
| | 2. Have a training session for service learning supervisors that help them understand how to address the criteria that we have delineated and the importance of addressing all criteria as they are part of our accreditation requirements. |
| Reflections/Notes: | Scores seems to be pretty consistent across semesters. |
| | When the department moved from have two service learning experiences to having one |
| | |

the evaluation tool was changed to reflect both program planning and administrative functions. Some agency supervisors have indicated that the experiences that they provide do not always cover the spectrum of skills we are seeking to develop. This is indicated in supervisors comments and in the number of N/A's that appear on the evaluation form.

Substantiating Evidence:

Interapeutic Recreation Fall 2017.xlsx (Excel Workbook (Open XML))

Therapeutic Recreation Spring 2018.xlsx (Excel Workbook (Open XML))

Outcome: SLO 3: Management and Administration Demonstrate the ability to relate basic concepts, principles and procedures of management/administration within an agency experience

Assessment Method: Code of Ethics
 Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: ethics,

Student will locate an article on TR Code of

on the assignment

N

summarize it and assert and apply a position based on the ATRA Code of Ethics and their assigned ethical perspective.

80% of the students will get a grade of C or better

Performance Criteria:

Assessed During this Period (Y/N):

Printed on: 2/21/2019 1:28:08 PM Created with with watermark

Program Assessment Contact Person:

Janice Elich Monroe

Results for Code of Ethics

Summary of Results:

Actions to be taken:

This SLO was not assessed during this academic year

New rubric was developed and this SLO will be assessed in 18-19

Reflections/Notes:

 Assessment Method: Service Learning II: Administration Agency Evaluations Direct - Other

| Details/Description: | Students are required to complete a 120 hour Service Learning experience that focuses on administration of recreation programs. During this experience the agency supervisor acts as a mentor and evaluator of student performance. Assessment on eight performance measures is completed using a scale of one to five. See attached rubric. |
|---|--|
| Performance Criteria: | 80% of the students will receive a Score of 3 or better. |
| Assessed During this Period (Y/N): | YES |
| Program Assessment Contact Person: | Janice Elich Monroe |
| Supporting Attachments: | |
| Servive Learning II Rubric.pdf | (Adobe Acrobat Document) |
| | |
| Results for Service Learning II: Evaluations | Administration Agency |

Summary of Results:

This course is not longer taught. Summary

data from Service Learning One reflects students progress on this SLO. Based on that analysis, students have met this criteria.

Results :Performance Result: MetActions to be taken:Review Service Learning I assessment. For
future assessment separate out program
planning assessment criteria from
management/administrative functions.This will enable us to determine if the new
course structure provides students with
adequate opportunities to meet both SLO'sReflections/Notes:The criteria for this measurement have been
folded into Service Learning I data

Assessment Method: Standards of Practice Direct - Student Artifact

| Details/Description: | Students demonstrate an understanding of the Standards of Practice by completing an assignment applying the principles to a specific agency. Students will complete an interview of a self-selected TR professional to determine how standards of practice are incorporated into TR policy, procedures, and practice at their agency. Results of the interview will be compiled into a written report and presented to the class. Interview questions will be obtained by using ATRA's Standards for the Practice of Therapeutic Recreation and Self-Assessment Guide. A grading rubric will be provided. Paper: 2-3 pages (1.5 spacing, 12 pt. font), presentation 20-25 minutes. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Performance Criteria: 80% of the | e students will receive a grade of C or better on the assignment. |
| | |

Assessed During this

Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person:

Janice Elich Monroe

Results for Standards of Practice

No Results Added

Outcome: SLO 4: Critical Thinking Demonstrate the ability to use critical thinking to engage in advocacy, address diversity, and stimulate innovation in professional settings

| Ŧ | Assessment Method: : Internship Final Evaluations | |
|--|--|---|
| | Details/Description: | Students are required to do a 12 week internship as a culminating experience. The internship supervisor, (a seasoned recreation professional and mentor) evaluates the students performance on the following criteria: leadership skills; judgement, problem solving and decision making; initiative; creativity; achievement of learning objectives and effective application of knowledge and skills. Students are rated on a four point scale. See attached Evaluation Form |
| | Performance Criteria: | 100% of the average student score will be above a 2.8 (Satisfactory) on each criteria. |
| | Assessed During this Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person: | YES Janice Elich Monroe |
| | Supporting Attachments: | |
| Internship Rubric.pdf (Adobe Acrobat Document) | | Acrobat Document) |

Printed on: 2/21/2019 1:28:08 PM Created with Results for : Internship Final Evaluations

| Summary of Results: | Summer 2018 Midterm score showed a range of 3.0 to 3.5 with 5/6 being a 3.0 on all criteria (4point scale). Final scores showed an improvement 5/6 scores being 3.5. |
|----------------------|--|
| Results : | Performance Result: Exceeded |
| Actions to be taken: | Internship seems to be an effective measure for evaluating this SLO. |
| Reflections/Notes: | |

Substantiating Evidence:

@ TR Internship Assessment Summer 2018.xlsx (Excel Workbook (Open XML))

Assessment Method: : Oral Communication
 Direct - Other

Details/Description:

Oral communication skills were assessed of students enrolled in the required capstone course, RLS 45300 Seminar: Professional Development. In this course, students propose and deliver 45minute presentations, as though they were delivering it at a professional conference. Students choose their own topics related to the profession of recreation and leisure studies.

During the spring semester 2016 the instructor for Seminar: Professional Development applied the attached rubric to seven student presentations to measure the competency of the students' oral communication. Six variables related to oral communication were measured: Organization, Subject Knowledge, Graphics, Mechanics, Eye Contact, and Elocution. Each student was assessed at one of four levels of competency: Novice, Apprentice, Practitioner, or Expert.

 Performance Criteria:
 RLS Department faculty set the following two
criteria for student competency in oral
communication:
(1) 100% of students must rate at the "Apprentice"
level or above
(2) 80% of students must rate at the "Practitioner"
level or above

 Assessed During this
 YES

Assessed During this Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person:

Linda Heyne

Supporting Attachments:

ORAL COMMUNICATION RUBRIC.docx (Word Document (Open XML))

Results for : Oral Communication Summary of Results: Spring 18 Criterion 1: 100% of students rate at the "Apprentice" level or above: MET Criterion 2: 80% of students rate at the "Practitioner" level or above: MET - 90% of the students were rated at the "Practitioner" level or above, surpassing the established criterion. These results are comparable to the results from previous semester. Results : Performance Result: Exceeded Actions to be taken: The overall performance of RLS students in oral communication has been consistently high for several semesters. These data suggest that the many opportunities students have to deliver oral presentations throughout the curriculum have strengthened their oral presentation skills. It is recommended to continue to provide

Printed on: 2/21/2019 1:28:08 PM

students with these opportunities, so they are well prepared to deliver the presentation required for this course as well as professional presentations in the future.

Reflections/Notes:

Substantiating Evidence:

RLS Oral Communication Assmt SP18.docx (Word Document (Open XML))

Assessment Method: Inclusion and Diversity Direct - Student Artifact

| Details/Description: Inclusion | Students will complete an assignment, My |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Lens, to reflect their understanding of diversity and inclusion. |
| Performance Criteria: | 80% of the students will receive a grade of C or better |
| Assessed During this Period (Y/N): | Ν |
| Program Assessment | Linda Heyne |
| Contact Person: | |
| | |
| Supporting Attachments: | |
| Inclusion.Lens.Assignment.F | 14.pdf (Adobe Acrobat Document) |
| 5 | |
| | |
| Results for Inclusion and Diver | sity |
| | |
| Summary of Results: This ass | essment was not utilized this reporting period. |
| | The course was taught by part-time faculty. |
| Actions to be taken: | Assess in 2019-20 |

Printed on: 2/21/2019 1:28:08 PM Created with Watermark

Assessment Method: Research Methods Direct - Student Artifact

| Details/Description: | Research proposal assignment that assesses the student's ability to develop a coherent proposal that demonstrates integration of outside materials, depth of engagement with the topic, an articulate methods section and citations and references. See attached description of assignment and rubric. |
|--|---|
| Performance Criteria: | 80% of the students will receive a grade of B or better on the assignment. |
| Assessed During this Period (Y/N): | Ν |
| Program Assessment Contact Person: | Janice Monroe |
| Supporting Attachments: | |
| Research Proposal (Word Document (Open XML)) | |
| | |
| Results for Research Method | ls |
| Summary of Results: 86% of | the students received a grade of 80% or beeter on the proposal project |
| | |

Actions to be taken: Develop a rubric that helps to identify specific areas that students may need additional exposure and development in.

Performance Result: Exceeded

Reflections/Notes:

Results :

| Y | Assessment Method: Written Communication |
|---|--|
| | Direct - Student Artifact |

| Details/Description: | In the required capstone course, RLS 45300 Seminar: Professional Development, all students need to develop a well-researched 7 to 10 page paper on a topic of the student's choosing related to the profession of recreation and leisure studies. To measure student competency at written communication the course instructor applied the attached rubric to the student papers. Seven students were enrolled in the course. |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Five variables related to written communication were measured: Meaning, Development, Organization, Language Use, and Conventions. Each student was assessed at one of four levels of competency: Novice, Apprentice, Practitioner, or Expert. |
| Performance Criteria: | RLS faculty have determined the following two criteria for student competency in the area of written communication: (1) 100% of students need to rate at the "Apprentice" level or above (2) 80% of students need to rate at the "Practitioner" level or above |
| Assessed During this | YES |
| Period (Y/N): Program Assessment | Linda Heyne |
| Contact Person: | |
| Supporting Attachments: | |
| Written Communication Rub | pric.docx (Word Document (Open XML)) |
| | |
| Results for Written Communic | ation |
| Summary of Results: | Spring 2018 Criterion 1: 100% of students rate at the "Apprentice" level or above: MET |

| | Criterion 2: 80% of students rate at the "Practitioner" level or above: NOT MET – 75% of RLS students rated at the "Practitioner" level or above, which was 5% below the criterion |
|--------------------------|--|
| Results : | Performance Result: Met |
| Actions to be taken: The | department needs to continue to support students in their written communication. Recommended actions include: (a) emphasize the importance of quality written communication, (b) provide careful and constructive feedback on writing errors on students' papers, (c) encourage students to consult the Writing Center prior to submitting written assignments, and (d) give students opportunities to rewrite their papers. |
| Reflections/Notes: | Criterion 1 was met, while Criterion 2 results were 5% below the expectation. This result was similar to previous semesters. |

Outcome: SLO 5: Foundational Knowledge

Students will demonstrate an understanding of the philosophical and theoretical foundations of therapeutic recreation.

 Assessment Method: NCTRC Certification Exam: Foundational Knowledge Direct - Other

Details/Description:

The NCTRC Certification Exam provide foundational knowledge based on industry standards. These scores will be used to determine if our students have been adequately prepared.



| 80% of the students will receive a test score that is at or above the minimum acceptable competency level. Y Janice Elich Monroe Exam: Foundational Knowledge |
|---|
| Janice Elich Monroe |
| |
| |
| Exam: Foundational Knowledge |
| |
| NCTRC Comprehensive School Report indicated that 93.8% of the 16 students taking the test had a performance rating at or above the minimum acceptable competency level. |
| Performance Result: Exceeded |
| None. |
| |
| |

Outcome: SLO 6: Understanding Disability

Students will demonstrate an understanding of various disabling conditions and the role of therapeutic recreation in enhancing independent functioning.

No assessment methods specified

Outcome: SLO 7: Therapeutic Recreation Process

Students will be able to complete client assessment, develop client centered treatment plans, and the ability to design, implement and evaluate client centered intervention programs.

 Assessment Method: Person Centered Intervention Planning and Implementation
 Direct - Student Artifact

Details/Description: Students will design, implement and evaluate client centered intervention programs while at the Center for Life Skills. The assignment includes a client centered program plan, implementation evaluation, and post session report on each intervention.

Performance Criteria:

Performance Criteria: 90% of the students will earn a average grade of C or better on these assignments

Assessed During this Period (Y/N): Program Assessment Contact Person:

Program Assessment Contact Person: Janice Elich Monroe

Supporting Attachments:

Intervention Evaluation Form.doc (Microsoft Word)

Post session report form.docx (Word Document (Open XML))

Υ

Program Plan Description Form.docx (Word Document (Open XML))

Results for Person Centered Intervention Planning and Implementation

Summary of Results:

TR Process I - Fall 17 Attendence/Punctuality/Preparedness 5 Safety 5 Goals 4.33 Content presented clearly 4.67 Debrief 3.33

TRII- Spring 18 Attendance/Punctuality/Preparedness 3 Safety 5 Goals 4 Content presented clearly 5 Debrief 4 Results were similar for interventions with other disciplines

Performance Result: Exceeded

Actions to be taken: Reflections/Notes:

Results :

Results seem consistent across semesters and show growth in the students ability to design implement and evaluate programs.

Substantiating Evidence:

TR Process I Fall 2017.xlsx (Excel Workbook (Open XML))

TR Process II Spring 2018.xlsx (Excel Workbook (Open XML))

Assessment Method: Practice and Organization of Thearapeutic Recreation *Direct - Other*

| Details/Description: Practice | NCTRC sub-test exam scores in the area of |
|--|--|
| | of TR/RT and Organization of TR/RT |
| Performance Criteria: | 80% of the students will receive exam scores in these areas that are at or above the minimum acceptable competency level |
| Assessed During this | Y |
| Period (Y/N): | |
| Program Assessment | Janice Elich Monroe |
| Contact Person: | |
| | |
| Results for Practice and Organ Recreation | ization of Thearapeutic |
| | |
| Summary of Results: NCTRC | Comprehensive Test Results are as follows: |

Assessment Process 87.5 % Documentation 87.5%

| | Implementation 87.5% performance at or above minimum competency. This represented a 100% pass rate. |
|----------------------|---|
| Results : | Performance Result: Exceeded |
| Actions to be taken: | None as we are performing above the national pass rate of 87.5%. |
| Reflections/Notes: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Last Modified: 02/21/2019 01:27:54 PM EST

